# SATURATED HYDROCARBON POLYMERIC BINDER FOR ADVANCED SOLID PROPELLANT AND HYBRID SOLID GRAIN

### QUARTERLY REPORT NO. 4

PERIOD COVERED: August 1, 1966 October 31, 1966

This work was performed under Contract #951210 with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract NAS 7-100.

Technically Managed By: F. J. Hendel
H. E. Marsh, Jr.

Report Edited By:

J. E. Potts

Contributors:

A. C. Ashcraft, Jr.
J. E. Potts
E. M. Sullivan
E. W. Wise

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
PLASTICS DIVISION
Polymer Research and Development Department
Bound Brook, New Jersey

### CONTENTS

			Page
I.	OBJ	ECTIVE	1
II.	SUM	MARY	1
III.	sco	PE OF PROJECT	2
IV.	INT	RODUCTION	2
v.	TEC	HNICAL DISCUSSION	
	Α.	Factors Influencing the Functionality of Ethylene-Neohexene Copolymer Binder	3
		1. Examination of Method of Determining N. I	Е. З
		2. Polymeric Anhydride Formation	4
		3. Gas Chromatographic Analysis of Neohexene Monomer	5
	В.	PREPARATION OF ETHYLENE-NEOHEXENE COPOLYMERS WITH DMAB INITIATOR	S 6
	c.	SYNTHESIS OF DTAB	9
VI.	PLA	NS FOR FUTURE WORK	11
VII.	APP	ENDIX	
		Table I A	A 13
		Figure 1	A 14

### I. OBJECTIVE

Union Carbide Corporation, Plastics Division has agreed to provide, on a level of effort basis, between 100.8 and 106.8 direct man months of effort to assist the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in the development of a new or improved polymeric binder for advanced solid propellant and hybrid solid grains. The detailed objectives are described in Quarterly Report No. 1.

### II. SUMMARY

During the past quarter various factors which might adversely influence the attainment of satisfactory levels of carboxyl content in the prepolymer have been examined critically. The choice of solvent in the molecular weight determination had previously been found to be very critical. The titrimetric procedure for determining neutralization equivalent was found to be somewhat lacking in precision and accuracy and was altered. This had only a small effect on the measured value of N. E.

The possibility that polymeric anhydrides were being formed during sample work-up was tested using an available analytical determination for anhydrides. No evidence for the presence of anhydrides was found.

The monomer, neohexene, was analyzed thoroughly for the presence of chain transfer impurities -- none were found.

The oxygen functionality of the prepolymer made with DMAB initiator was found to decrease with increasing batch reaction time, indicating a changing reaction envi-Further examination of these products demonstrated ronment. the presence of small amounts of polymer containing a high concentration of carboxyl groups, which was not compatible with the copolymer. It is suspected that this incompatible polymer contains methacrylic acid, derived from methyl methacrylate which can arise from the disproportionation of two initiator radicals. The other disproportionation product from DMAB radicals is methyl isobutyrate, which is a chain transfer agent. If correct, this suggests as a possible solution that we rely on symmetrical chain transfer agents such as dithiodibutyric acid as a major source of functionality and keep initiator concentration minimized. The implications of this discovery are being vigorously prosecuted.

### III. SCOPE OF PROJECT

The scope of this work has not changed from the program outlined in the work statement. The major emphasis has been placed on obtaining an adequate understanding of the reaction requirements for making a copolymer having the required level of functionality. A small, continuous reactor is being placed in service to better define these reaction variables under steady state conditions.

#### IV. INTRODUCTION

In our third quarterly report the molecular weight distributions of a variety of ethylene-neohexene copolymers, determined by the new technique of gel permeation chromatography, were presented. The ratio of weight average molecular weight to number average molecular weight,  $\bar{\rm M}_{\rm W}/\bar{\rm M}_{\rm R}$ , was found to be between 1.2 and 1.5 for the samples studied. These products are very narrow in molecular weight distribution.

Molecular distillation was used to fractionate ethylene-neohexene copolymers in the molecular weight range 200-800. The fractions obtained were narrow in molecular weight distribution.

Data were generated which provide strong reason for preferring to run molecular weights of carboxylic acid containing prepolymers in polar solvents such as tetrahydrofuran.

DEAB, diethyl,  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ ' - azobisisobutyrate was used as an initiator for ethylene neohexene copolymerization. Carboxylic acid functionalities, based on molecular weights measured in tetrahydrofuran, were near unity, suggesting that this initiator is a chain transfer agent.

In order to decrease the likelihood of chain transfer at the alkoxy group in DEAB, it was decided to synthesize the dimethyl ester of azobis isobutyric acid, DMAB. This synthesis proved to be quite facile and the substance was prepared in several hundred gram quantity for use in copolymerization studies.

The following sections describe various experiments which have been performed during this quarter to further define the factors which control copolymer functionality.

### V. TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

## A. Factors Influencing Functionality of Ethylene-Neohexene Binder

Although choice of initiator is a basic factor in determining the carboxyl functionality of these prepolymers, other factors are also critical, such as purity of reactants and solvents and reliability of analytical data. In previous reports we have described the large effect on measured functionality of the type of solvent used in determining the number average molecular weight of the prepolymer. In general, molecular weights determined in benzene are almost twice as high as those determined in a polar solvent such as tetrahydrofuran. This is due to the marked tendency of the carboxyl groups in these polymers to form hydrogen bound aggregates in non-polar solvents. The use of "benzene" molecular weight data was shown to result in erroneously "high" carboxyl functionalities.

### 1. Examination of Method of Determining N. E.

If we recall that functionality (F) is defined as:

Functionality (F) No. Avg. Mol. Wt. 
$$(\overline{M}_n)$$
Neutralization Equivalent (N.E.)

it is apparent that a low functionality will be observed if  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n$  is erroneously low or N. E. is erroneously high. The drastic effect of using a non-polar solvent in the determination of  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n$  has already been discussed. In this report we will describe experiments which have been carried out in order to reappraise our carboxyl terminated ethylene-neohexene copolymers.

Our standard procedure was to titrate a xylene solution of the prepolymer with a solution of KOH in ethanol to the phenolphthalein end point. This method was found to have several deficiencies:

- 1. Ethanolic KOH titrant is not stable, discolors on standing and must be frequently restandardized.
- 2. The color change of phenolphthalein does not occur exactly at the time equivalence point.
  - 3. The potentiometric end point is not sharp.
- 4. KOH is not basic enough to quantitatively titrate all of the acidic groups present.

Fortunately, deficiencies (1) and (2) tend to cancel one another.

After considerable investigation we have adopted a new procedure in which the polymer is dissolved in methyl ethyl ketone and titrated with 0.1 normal tetrabutyl ammonium hydroxide in isopropanol. The end point can be determined either potentiometrically or visually. Using glass versus standard calomel electrodes a very sharp end point is observed at -550 millivolts. Thymol blue undergoes its color change exactly on the vertical portion of the potentiometric titration curve and can be used successfully except when the polymer solution is highly colored.

Using this revised procedure it has been found that neutralization equivalents are somewhat lower than the results from the older method, which, in effect, increases the concentration of COOH present. An example of how this affects N. E. and functionality is given below:

	Neutralization	Equivalent	_	Functio	nality
Sample No.	Old Method	New Method	$\underline{\overline{\mathtt{M}}_{\mathtt{n}}}$	Old	New
23-EMS-4	789	779	779	0.99	1.00

It is seen that the error in the old data was small, at least in this instance. Because of the ease of obtaining reproducible results with the new procedure, it will be used as the standard method in this and subsequent reports.

### 2. Polymeric Anhydride Formation

Another possible cause of low functionality in these copolymers is the formation of intermolecular anhydride groups during work-up procedures. Our suspicions were aroused by unexplainable increases in viscosity of certain samples of prepolymer during work-up and the occurence of infra-red absorptions similar to the anhydride doublets in the 5 micron region. Obviously anhydrides which might not hydrolyze rapidly during N. E. determination would raise the N. E. of the sample and also raise the observed molecular weight.

Anhydrides are known to react quantitatively and rapidly with morpholine to give morpholides and the corresponding carboxylic acid. Morpholine may be titrated with strong acid in the presence of carboxylic acids. Johnson and

Funk Anal. Chem., 27, 1464 (1955) have published a method for the analysis of anhydrides in the presence of the corresponding acids based on these facts. Using this procedure we found no measurable amount of anhydride in a copolymer sample (hydrolyzed 23 EMS-11) which exhibited the infra-red absorptions "characteristic" of anhydrides.

To further strengthen the evidence that anhydrides are not a problem, we subjected a sample of a known difunctional polymer (EMPOL-1010 dimer acid) to a more severe thermal cycle than ever used in work-up of our samples: 6 hours at 150°C under vacuum in a rotary evaporator. There was no change in neutralization equivalent as a result of this treatment. If anhydrides formed they were completely hydrolyzed during the titration procedure.

### 3. Gas Chromatographic Analysis of Neohexene Monomer

Although we were given positive assurance by the vendor that the polymerization grade neohexene we have been using is very pure, it seemed prudent to verify this fact ourselves. The presence of certain impurities could result in chain transfer and lead to low carboxyl functionality in the prepolymer. Neohexene is one of 13 isomers of hexene, (not counting cis-trans isomers) and is the only one which does not have allylic hydrogen atoms. The presence of any of these isomers would lead to chain transfer reactions which would have an adverse affect on functionality. Because of the possibility that one or more of these isomers might be formed from neohexene by isomerization during its manufacture, it was decided to examine neohexene using a high resolution gas chromatograph.

The 13 isomers of hexene are listed in Table I A, (Appendix) with their boiling points and their structural formulas. Neohexene is much lower in boiling point than the other isomers. Samples of five of these isomers were purchased covering the whole range of boiling points.

A Perkin-Elmer Model 226 gas chromatograph was used to analyze our neohexene as well as each of the 5 isomeric hexenes. Then a synthetic mixture of neohexene and the other 5 isomers was prepared and analyzed under the same conditions. The gas chromatograph was equipped with a 300 ft. long column of 0.01 inch I. D. stainless steel capillary tubing internally coated with Dow-Corning 550 silicone grease. The column was operated at 40°C under an inlet pressure of 15 psi of carrier gas. The column achieves a separation efficiency of 300,000 theoretical plates.

A chromatogram showing the purity of our polymerization grade neohexene is shown in top graph of Figure 1. (Appendix). The lower chromatogram is the result obtained when the synthetic mixture of 6 isomers was analyzed. Note the excellent resolution of the different isomers which are eluted from the column in order of their respective boiling points, neohexene first and 2,3 dimethyl butene-2 last.

Immediately following the elution of the neohexene peak in the upper chromatogram, the sensitivity of the detection system was increased by a factor of 500. Even at this great sensitivity, no other components other than neohexene were detectable. A peak of the order of two times the size of the random noise in the signal from the detector would represent only 70 parts per million of the injected sample.

From these studies we have concluded that the neohexene we are using is not contributing any impurities of any consequence to the polymerization system.

### B. Preparation of Ethylene-Neohexene Copolymers With DMAB Initiation.

The synthesis of the azo initiator DMAB was described in our previous quarterly report. In order to become familiar with the behavior of DMAB in polymerization, three preliminary runs were made at 80°C at three different pressures. The essential data are tabulated in Table I. The low pressure runs produced prepolymer in the molecular weight range of interest, while the 20,000 psi run gave a polymer of very high viscosity. The oxygen functionality of these products was low as was the carboxyl functionality after hydrolysis of the terminal esters.

These preliminary results, along with earlier results with DEAB initiated products, led us to suspect that changes in the reaction environment during these batch runs might be responsible for the observed functionalities. In order to check this possibility we carried out a series of polymerization runs at 80°C and at 90°C, in which the reaction time was varied from 15 minutes to several hours. This procedure was in lieu of removing samples periodically from one long run, which is impractical due to the pressure involved.

The results of these runs are summarized in Table II. At both temperatures the oxygen functionality was a function of reaction time, from which it was surmised that changes in

#### TABLE I PRELIMINARY RUNS WITH DMAB Run No. 23 EMS-20 21 22 Initial Charge Benzene/TBuOH Benzene Benzene Solvent 11.1 0.00 Solvent, wt., gms. 29.6 605 510 665 Neohexene, gms. Initiator, gms. 1.6 2.7 3.7 229 192 279 Ethylene, gms. Fed During Run 293 99.6 267 Solvent, gms. 33.2 Initiator, gms. 14 Reaction Conditions 80 Temperature °C 80 80 4375 20,000 2650 Pressure 23 23 5.6 Reaction Time, hrs. Productivity 221 112 71 Yield, gms. 26.5 % Conversion 22 7.5 Rate, %/hr. 1.3 1.2 Properties Before Hydrolysis 3462 Molecular wt. Brookfield Visc. **>**400,000 13,200 15,100 Centipoise 3.32 0.93 Wt. % Oxygen 1.15 1.01 O<sub>2</sub> Functionality Properties After Hydrolysis 1099 1127 Molecular Weight 1131 1199 Neutralization Equiv. 0.97 0.94 COOH Functionality

TABLE II

EFFECT OF REACTION TIME
ON OXYGEN FUNCTIONALITY
OF PREPOLYMER

RUN #23 EMS	33	34	31	32	цц	41	42	37
INITIAL CHARGE Benzene, Gms Neohexene, Gms DMAB, Gms	30 — 510 — 1.6 —			<b>→</b>	33 — 501 — 1.7 —			——→ ——>
Ethylene, Gms  FED DURING REACTION Benzene, Gms DMAB, Gms	25.8	77.3	136.7	218.5	48.5	87.3	87.4	294
	1.4	4.1	7.2	11.5	2.55	4.56	6.26	15.6
REACTION CONDITIONS Temperature, °C Initial Pressure Final Pressure Time, Hrs.	80 ————————————————————————————————————	1150 1.5	1000 4.9	2000 6.0	90 1050 psi 1050	1050 •50	1225	1000 psi 3350 psi 4.5
PRODUCTIVITY Yield, Gms. % Conversion Rate, %/Hrs.	4	12	25	53	5	9	22	87
	•57	1.7	3.56	7.56	•73	1.3	3.2	12.6
	1•14	1.13	.89	1.26	2•9	2.6	3.2	2.8
PROPERTIES Molecular Wt. Wt.% Oxygen O2 Equivalent	648	716	823	912	553	815	706	891
	9.02	7.46	5.70	4.49	10.42	6.37	6.75	5•91
Weight	354	429	562	713	307	503	474	542
O <sub>2</sub> /Molecule	1.83	1.€6	1.48	1.28	1.8	1.62	1.49	1.65

the reaction environment during the batch runs were taking place, and that these changes were apparently having an adverse effect on the termination reaction. These ester products were homogeneous, clear, lightly colored oils, whose infra-red spectra were similar to previous DMAB initiated copolymers.

Saponification with the standard alkaline hydrolysis procedure showed the presence of the anticipated potassium salt of the carboxyl terminated copolymer and also a different substance. The copolymer salt was soluble in n-butanol while the other substance was water soluble and methanol insoluble.

The n-butanol soluble copolymer fraction was converted from salt to acid form, dried and submitted for molecular weight and neutralization equivalent determination. The water soluble fraction was analyzed by titration with aqueous acid. The results of these determinations are tabulated in Table III.

From the data it appears that the cause of high ester functionality in the early stages of the polymerization is the presence of those ester bearing groups which are water soluble upon saponifaction. The n-butanol soluble copolymer is not markedly higher in functionality during the early stages of the polymerization, although a downward trend in COOH functionality with time is seen in the 90°C runs.

The exact origin of this "water soluble" fraction is not completely understood. As the batch polymerization proceeds additional small amounts of this material are made, so it is surmised that it is derived from small amounts of methyl methacrylate generated by initiator radical-radical disproportionation. It should be noted that in a high conversion continuous process the amount of such material generated would be quite small.

The more significant point is that for every methyl methacrylate molecule produced by disproportionation, a methyl isobutyrate molecule also forms. The latter molecule is a chain transfer agent. We are endeavoring to better establish the validity of this explanation. If the new iniator DTAB, also results in a polymer of functionality unity, we will conclude that the transfer agent is an alkyl isobutyrate.

### C. Synthesis of DTAB.

As stated previously, it is desirable to utilize an initiator for this copolymerization of such a structure that chain transfer involving abstraction of a hydrogen atom

		TABL	TABLE III					
EFFECT OF	F REACTION	REACTION TIME		ON COOH FUNCTIONALITY	NALITY			
Sample	23 EMS 33	34	31	32	77	41	42	37
Wt. Sample, gms.	1.34	5.98	14.93	33.98	2.39	4.83	7.50	20.83
Wt. Butanol Sol. Fraction	1.06	2.72*	2.72* 12.71	16.09*	1.95	4.16	6.82	18.52
Wt. Water Sol. Fraction	*	*	**	*	7.0	1.05	1.40	2.30
Neutralization Equiv. Butanol Sol. Fraction	858	792	751	863	556	9/9	578	745
Water Sol. Fraction***	ı	ı		ı	243	221	197	240
Mol. Wt. Butanol Sol. Fraction In CHC13 In THF	tion 1093 907	1067 843	1053 830	1150 943	-	999 759	851 617	929 719
Functionality (COOH) On CHCl <sub>3</sub> M. Wt.	1.28	1.35	1.40	1.33	ı	1,48	1.48	1.25
On THF M. Wt.	1.06	1.07	1.10	1.09	1.15	1.12	1.07	96.0
* Some Material lost on work-up ** Not quantitatively isolated *** No Mol. Wt. Det'n Available	work-up olated ilable				-			

from the structure or its decomposition products is at an absolute minimum. DTAB, ditertiary butyl,  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  azobis (isobutyrate) may be such a compound. The following reaction scheme is being examined as a possible route to this compound.

All steps in this synthesis have been completed without difficulty up to the coupling reaction which is being examined at present. The oxidation of the coupled product V should be quite facile, judging from the ease with which this reaction was carried out in the synthesis of DMAB.

### VI. PLANS FOR FUTURE WORK

Ethylene-neohexene copolymerizations will be carried out using the continuous, tube reactor, to determine the effect of steady state operation on product quality. DMAB initiator will be used initially.

Curing studies will be performed on prepolymer samples made with DMAB in batch equipment.

The proposed reaction scheme for the synthesis of DTAB initiator will be investigated more thoroughly. If successful, copolymerization runs will be made as soon as possible with this initiator.

Previous efforts to use compounds such as dithio-dibutyric acid in conjunction with various azo initiators to control functionality were complicated by the limited solubility of the sulfur compound. The tertiary butyl ester of dithio-dibutyric acid will be made and used in copolymerizations.

TABLE 1 A						
		AND STRUCTURAL KENE ISOMERS				
1 OMMOI	°C	THE ISOMINS				
Name	Boiling Point	Structural Formula				
3,3-Dimethylbutene-l (Neohexene)	41.2					
4-Methylpentene-1	53.6					
3-Methylpentene-1	53.6	(Sample A)				
2,3-Dimethylbutene-1	56.0					
4-Methylpentene-2	57.7	(Sample B)				
2-Methylpentene-1	61.5					
Hexene-1	63.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
2-Ethylbutene-1	66.2					
2-Methylpentene-2	67.2	(Sample D)				
Hexene-3	67.5					
3-Methylpentene-2	67.6					
Hexene-2	67.9					
2,3-Dimethylbutene-2	73.0	(Sample E)				



